



Development of a PCR-TTGE assay for rapid detection of Staphylococcus species in processed meat products

Monireh Bahrami^{1,2}, Maryam Besharati³, Mansour Mashreghi^{1,2}, Maryam M. Matin^{1,2}, Ahmad Reza Bahrami^{1,2*}

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

² Industrial Biotechnology Research Group, Institute of Biotechnology, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

³ Department of Advanced Technologies, School of Medicine, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran

Article Info	Abstract
Document Type Research Paper Received 13/09/2022 Received in revised form 25/10/2022 Accepted 26/10/2022	Some <i>Staphylococcus</i> species are believed to be the main cause of bacterial infections and foodborne outbreaks. Several reports have discussed the enterotoxigenic properties of some <i>Staphylococcus</i> species, but due to the shortage of efficient diagnostic techniques, most studies have focused only on <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> . Thus, developing a culture-independent, selective, and
Keywords: Staphylococcus identification, PCR, TTGE, Foodborne diseases, Enterotoxins, tuf gene	rapid detection method for <i>Staphylococcus</i> species in food products is of great importance. In this study, PCR-amplified <i>tuf</i> gene sequences were assessed by temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TTGE) in order to detect and differentiate between different <i>Staphylococcus</i> species in Iranian food samples. The PCR sensitivity and specificity were evaluated against DNA samples extracted from six <i>Staphylococcus</i> species, including <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>S. epidermidis</i> , <i>S.</i> <i>saprophyticus</i> , <i>S. intermedius</i> , <i>S. chromogenes</i> , and <i>S. hominis</i> , using a commercially available kit and a cost-effective, rapid, non-commercial boiling method. Using the boiling method, the sensitivity of the <i>tuf</i> PCR was 9×10^1 CFU/mL for the salami samples spiked with <i>S. aureus</i> , ten times less sensitive than the commercial kit. After optimizing the TTGE conditions, a species-specific TTGE pattern was obtained based on the differences between the amplified sequences from various species. This TTGE pattern was applied to detect <i>Staphylococcus</i> species in food samples from the market. The presence of <i>Staphylococcus</i> species was confirmed in 6 out of 10 tested salami products. The results demonstrate that the PCR-TTGE method is an alternative method that may be specific and sensitive enough to assess the presence of possible <i>Staphylococcus</i> contamination in meat processed food samples. More studies using different food samples should be considered for an in-depth analysis of bacterial contamination.

1. Introduction

Staphylococcus bacteria naturally are present in the skin and mucosal membranes and can also be isolated from a wide range of contaminated foods (meat, cheese, or milk) and natural resources (soil, sand, dust, air, or water). Due to pathogenic activities, reliable methods for identifying Staphylococcus at the genus and species level are of significant

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: +9805832730418 E-mail address: ar-bahrami@um.ac.ir

DOI: 10.22104/ARMMT.2022.5842.1074

importance. In this regard, various methods including culture-dependent and culture-independent methods have been developed to detect *Staphylococcus* species in foods (Gill, 2017; J. Hennekinne & Le Loir, 2014; Wang & Salazar, 2016).

Currently, culture-dependent methods are applied for bacterial identification. However, associated obstacles such as 1) being timeconsuming (3–5 days) and laborious, 2) difficulty in bacterial isolation from natural sources and culture in specific media, 3) lack of knowledge about the real conditions that most bacteria grow, and 4) difficulty in differentiation between coagulase-negative staphylococci require emphasis on the development of novel techniques to detect the bacterial species (Foddai & Grant, 2020; Fusco & Quero, 2014; Kloos & Schleifer, 1975).

Culture-independent methods, such as nucleic acid-based approaches that can amplify the target DNA/RNA to a detectable level within a few hours, are powerful tools for the detection of foodborne pathogens (Wang & Salazar, 2016). Some specific primers for the detection of Staphylococcus species, including S. aureus (Bogestam, Vondracek, Karlsson, Fang, & Giske, 2018), S. epidermidis (Kord et al., 2018) and S. saprophyticus (de Paiva-Santos, de Sousa, & Giambiagi-deMarval, 2018), have been reported. However, their application is limited to only one specific species in each test. Several studies have reported a multiplex PCR assay for diagnosing different species in one reaction using multiple oligonucleotides, but this technique has the limitation of designing proper primers based on the prior knowledge of target sequences. It is also difficult to optimize PCR conditions for the number of primers needed to identify several species in one reaction (Kim, Hong, Lim, Heu, & Roh, 2018). Some other PCR-based methods, like real-time PCR, are standard procedures in

many laboratories. But there also have drawbacks such as prior knowledge of gene sequences and designing several specific primers for some *Staphylococcus* species. So, these methods are insufficient for detecting food contaminations, which often involve a mixed population of *Staphylococcus* species.

Consequently, gradient gel electrophoresis (GGE) has been introduced, in which the gene of interest can be amplified without preidentification of the target in a mixed population. The advantages this method has compared to other traditional approaches are: it does not require 1) time-consuming processes like conventional and biochemical techniques, 2) differential media for isolation of Staphylococcus species, and 3) substantial labor-intensive techniques such as hybridization probes and gene sequencing (Al-Mailem, Kansour, & Radwan, 2017).

In this study, we developed a temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TTGE)-based PCR to monitor the presence of various *Staphylococcus* species in Iranian meat products for the first time. For this purpose, the specific primers targeting *tuf* gene, encoding elongation factor Tu, were used. This gene shows a high degree of polymorphism among *Staphylococcus* species making it a suitable candidate for detecting *Staphylococcus* contaminations using the TTGE method (Hwang, Kim, Park, Song, & Kim, 2011; Iversen et al., 2020; Khosravi et al., 2018).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Chemicals

All reagents and chemicals used in the preparation of electrophoretic gels and silver staining were obtained from Merck Co. (Germany). 100 bp DNA Ladder and loading dye were obtained from Fermentas Co. (Canada). The forward and reverse primers were purchased from Macrogene Co. (South Korea). Ethidium bromide and all reagents used in PCR were obtained from CinnaGen Co. (Iran).

2.2. Bacterial Species

The staphylococcal species used in this study, including S. aureus (PTTC: 1431), S. epidermidis (PTTC: 1435), and S. saprophyticus (PTTC: 1440), were purchased from the Persian Type Culture Collection (PTCC, Iran). In addition, two clinical isolates representing two different species of Staphylococcus (*S*. intermedius and S. chromogenes) food isolate and one obtained from cheese (with 99% similarity with S. hominis based on 16S rDNA sequencing) were used.

All species were cultured on non-selective Tryptic soy broth (TSB) under aerobic conditions at 37°C for 24 h.

2.3. Rapid DNA Extraction

DNA was extracted from isolated bacteria using the boiling method with some modifications (Pui et al., 2011). Aliquots of 1 mL of 24 h cultured bacteria were centrifuged at 15000 g for 10 min, and the pellets were resuspended in 500 μ L sterile deionized distilled water. The suspensions were boiled for 10 min to release DNA from bacteria.

In addition, the efficiency of the two different DNA extraction methods, the BIONEER DNA extraction kit (AccuPrep®, BIONEER Corporation, Korea) and the boiling method, was compared through the extraction of DNA from food samples spiked with *S. aureus*.

To optimize DNA extraction from salami, a pre-enrichment step was performed by incubating 1 g of the homogenized salami samples in 9 mL Buffered Peptone Water (BPW) at 37°C for 24 h. The DNA was then extracted and stored at -20°C.

2.4. PCR Amplification of the *tuf* gene

For *Staphylococcus* identification, the *tuf* gene (GeneID: 45573819) was amplified using TStaG422f (5'- GC clamp GGC CGT GTT GAA CGT GGT CAA ATC A-3') and TStag765r (5'-TIA CCA TTT CAG TAC CTT CTG GTA A-3') specific primers (Martineau et al., 2001). The reverse primer "I" indicates nucleotide analog inosine. For TTGE experiments, the forward primer contains a 40 bp GC-clamp (CGCCCGCCGCGCCCGG).

DNA extracts from the pure bacterial cultures and spiked foods were subjected to PCR and then analyzed for the TTGE pattern. The PCR reaction mixture (20 µL) contained 1 U/ μ L Taq DNA polymerase, 1 μ L of each primer (10 pmol), 0.1 mM dNTPs, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 2 µL buffer (10X). Positive (S. and negative aureus) controls were included in each reaction. The amplification condition was as follows: 94°C for 5 min, followed by 39 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 7 min for final elongation. The PCR products were detected using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.

2.5. Specificity of the *tuf* PCR

The specificity of the PCR assay was verified using purified genomic DNA from *Staphylococcus* species and some important foodborne bacteria, including *Escherichia coli* ATCC: 25922, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC: 700603, *Salmonella typhimurium* ATTC: 14028, and a clinical isolate (*Proteus mirabilis*).

2.6. Sensitivity of the *tuf* PCR

The overnight S. aureus culture was serially diluted to 107 CFU/mL to determine the PCR sensitivity. The *S. aureus* initial concentration was estimated by the viable count method as

well as absorbance comparison with the McFarland standard table.

To determine the limit of detection (LOD) in artificially-contaminated food samples, 100 μ L of each dilution prepared from *S. aureus* bacteria was added to a homogeneous salami mixture containing 1 g salami and 9 mL BPW medium (Kumar, Murali, & Batra, 2009). Next, 1 mL of each dilution was transferred to a 1.5 mL microtube, and DNA was extracted using the boiling method and a BIONEER kit after 24 h of incubation. The PCR reaction was then performed for each dilution. Negative control and unspiked food samples were included in every step.

2.7. Temporal Temperature Gradient gel Electrophoresis Analysis (TTGE)

After PCR, TTGE assay was applied to analyze the amplicons. TTGE was performed using the DCode universal mutation detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The gels were 16×16 cm by 1 mm (60 mL) composed of 6% (w/v) acrylamide: bisacrylamide (37.5:1), 6 M urea, 80 µL of N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED), and 0.1% (w/v) ammonium persulfate. Gels were electrophoresed in 1× Tris–acetate–EDTA (TAE) buffer at pH 8.4.

The TTGE running conditions in terms of migration time, voltage, and temperature range were optimized with six species of *Staphylococcus* as the reference bacteria. The best separation of the standard *Staphylococcus* species in spiked salami samples was obtained at 130 V and a temperature gradient from 55°C to 61°C for 5 h (1.2°C/h). A diversity ladder was made with a mixture of six *Staphylococcus* PCR products. The migration pattern of the TTGE bands was observed with silver staining (Chevallet, Luche, & Rabilloud, 2006).

2.8. TTGE-based Detection of *Staphylococcus* Species in Salami Samples

Ten samples, including nine packed and branded salami and one hand-made salami, were randomly selected from local markets in Mashhad. A similar procedure described in Section 2.6 was used for DNA extraction from the food samples. Detection of *Staphylococcus* species in 10 purchased salami samples was performed under the TTGE condition described in Section 2.7.

3. Results and Discussion

The recognition of pathogens in food samples is a crucial step in the management of food safety. Although culture-dependent methods are regarded as the gold standard in microbiological assays, they are timeand consuming can easily be crosscontaminated. Thus, developing a molecular approach that provides a simple, rapid, and accurate assay could be a step forward. TStaG422f/TStag765r primers targeting tuf have been used to investigate the differences in the sequences of PCR products and to check the possibility of using this gene for distinguishing the Staphylococcus species using TTGE in milk samples (Filleron et al., 2014). In recent years, variable sequences of the *tuf* gene have been used to distinguish Staphylococcus species using the PCR-DGGE. This technique is sensitive, but the use of chemicals to create an electrophoretic slope in DGGE limits its application (H.-C. Chen & Hwang, 2008; Kassem, Esseili, & Sigler, 2011). As mentioned above, the application of tuf-PCR-TTGE to detect bacteria in milk products was previously done (Filleron et al., 2014), but applying it to solid foods with high amounts of inhibitory materials (especially meat products) remains a challenging process. Considering these complications, this study optimized the tuf-PCR-TTGE to differentiate Staphylococcus species in a meat product.

3.1. Specificity and Sensitivity of tuf PCR

Genomic DNAs of the six standard Staphylococcus species were extracted using the boiling method and used in a PCR reaction with 39 cycles. A 380 bp fragment of the *tuf* gene was observed on 1.5% agarose gel (Fig 1. A). To examine the specificity of TStaG4w22f/TStag765r primers among several species of Staphylococcus, some non-Staphylococcus foodborne bacteria including Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Salmonella typhimurium, and Proteus mirabilis were tested by the same PCR method. The PCR analysis of these bacteria showed no detectable bands (Fig 1. B).

The use of a specific pair of primers, TStaG422f/TStag765r in our study, showed high specificity in the amplification of the *tuf*

gene from Staphylococcus species. However, in Martineau et al.'s report in 2001, TStaG422f/TStag765r primers also amplify the tuf gene in Macrococcus caseolyticus and Enterococcus faecalis. As nonspecific efficacy 1000 or 10000 less than specific is amplification efficacy, it is unlikely to influence our results during the identification of the Staphylococcus species. In 2004, al. Morot-Bizot et showed that TStaG422f/TStag765r primers do not amplify the *tuf* gene in *M. caseolyticus*.

To test the LOD and the *tuf* PCR sensitivity, serial dilutions of *S. aureus* were made, and DNA was extracted from each dilution with the boiling method. As shown in (Fig 1-C), the sensitivity of the *tuf* PCR with 39 cycles for *S. aureus* was 9×10^4 CFU/mL.



Figure 1: PCR products of the tuf gene amplified with TStaG422f and TStag765r primers in:

A) *Staphylococcus* standard species. Representative lanes from left to right: L)100 bp DNA ladder (Fermentas, USA), N) Negative control, 1) *S. aureus* PTCC 1431, 2) *S. saprophyticus* PTCC 1440, 3) *S. epidermidis* PTCC, 4) *S. chromogenes* (clinical isolate), 5) *S. intermedius* (clinical isolate), and 6) *S. hominis* (food isolate).

B) Non-*Staphylococcus* standard species. Representative lanes from left to right: L)100 bp DNA ladder (Fermentas, USA), N) Negative control, 1) Positive control, 2) *Escherichia coli* ATCC 2592, 3) *Klebsiell pneumoniaea* ATCC 700603, 4) *Salmonella typhimurium* ATTC 1402 and 5) *Proteus mirabilis* (clinical isolate)

C) Various *S. aureus* dilutions ($9 \times 10^7 - 9 \times 10^0$ CFU/mL) to observe the sensitivity of PCR assay for detection of *Staphylococcus* spp. Representative lanes from left to right: L) 100 bp DNA ladder (Fermentas, USA), N) Negative control, and 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 dilutions of 9×10^7 CFU/mL to 9×10^0 CFU/mL, respectively.

The comparative results of the cost-effective boiling method and the BIONEER commercial kit extract DNA from artificiallyto contaminated food samples demonstrated that the BIONEER kit was 10x more sensitive than the boiling method (Fig 2. A and B). However, considering the fact that the boiling method was 100x cheaper than the kit and both sensitivities were below the unsatisfactory range (Council, 2003; Gilbert et al., 2000), setting up a cheaper approach would help to gain industry-customer confidence and be more easily commercialized for screening large amounts of meat foodstuffs. The sensitivity of the PCR assay was 9×10^1 CFU/mL when DNA was extracted from salami samples spiked with different dilutions of S. aureus enriched with BPW using the boiling method (Fig 2. B).

The sensitivity of PCR for Staphylococcus DNA extracted by the boiling method in different studies is inconsistent in various reports. While some report good sensitivity for their cases (Berrada, Soriano, Mañes, & Pico, 2006; Chiang et al., 2012; Hagen et al., 2005), there are others who have observed similar (L. Chen et al., 2009) or even less sensitivity in comparison to our experiments (Wilson, Cooper, & Gilmour, 1991). Still, others have also reported a lower sensitivity of the PCR test in spiked bacteria samples compared to our method (Gandra, Fernandez, Silva, & Silva, 2016; Ramesh, Padmapriya, Chrashekar, & Varadaraj, 2002; Wei et al., 2019). However, several studies have reported similar results (Arunrut, Kiatpathomchai, & Ananchaipattana, 2018; Botaro et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2009) or higher sensitivity compared to our method (Fricker, Messelhäußer, Busch, Scherer, & Ehling-Schulz, 2007; Nakano et al., 2004; Trnčíková, Hrušková, Oravcová, Pangallo, & Kaclíková, 2009)



Figure 2. PCR assay sensitivity for detection of *Staphylococcus* spp. in salami samples spiked with different concentrations of *S. aureus* $(9 \times 10^5 \text{ CFU/mL to } 9 \times 10^0 \text{ CFU/mL})$. The results were tested with DNA extracted from 24-h preenrichment spiked salami samples using (A) a BIONEER kit and (B) the boiling method.

3.2. Temporal Temperature Gradient Gel Electrophoresis Analysis

Denaturing electrophoresis, such as PCR-TTGE/DGGE, has been introduced as a suitable technique to provide a comparative bacterial DNA fingerprint to study food safety (Besharati, Bahrami, Mashreghi, Matin, & Bahrami, 2017; Ercolini, 2004; Garofalo et al., 2017). However, these fingerprint methods also have some drawbacks. One limitation is the separation of relatively short DNA fragments (300-400 bp) which affects the design of primers and probes for analysis. Moreover, in closely related organisms such as different species of Staphylococcus, separation of fragments with different sequences is not always possible because of a similar melting profile of the fragments (Adil, 2015). Several studies have used PCR-DGGE/TGGE for 16S rDNA to detect microbiota diversity, although the accuracy of this approach for identifying the species has been questioned (Dahllöf, Baillie, & Kjelleberg, 2000). The similarity in the *tuf* gene sequence has been reported among 29 species of Staphylococcus in a range of 86% to 97%, which

is less than the similarity in the 16S rDNA gene (90% to 99%). For this reason, *tuf* was suggested as a potential species-specific marker for the *Staphylococcus* genus (Bergeron et al., 2011; H.-C. Chen & Hwang, 2008; Hwang et al., 2011; Khosravi et al., 2018). Therefore, the *tuf* encoding the elongation factor Tu with the highest polymorphism(s) among different staphylococci was selected in this study.

The TTGE was conducted at 130 V with a temperature gradient from 55°C to 61°C for 5 h (1.2°C/h). The PCR amplification products from different *Staphylococcus* species migrated to distinct distances and successfully differentiated (Fig 3). This indicates a sufficient variation in the *tuf* gene sequence to discriminate between different *Staphylococcus* species. Letters a-f represent the species' respective bands. To construct the *Staphylococcus* diversity ladder, amplicons of standard species were mixed, and the pattern was applied as a diversity ladder for TTGE analysis of *Staphylococcus* bacteria in food samples.



Figure 3. Temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis band separation patterns of *tuf* PCR products in *Staphylococcus* standard species. Each lane represents: L) Diversity marker, 1) *S. hominis* (food isolate), 2) *S. chromogenes* (clinical isolate), 3) *S. intermedius* (clinical isolate), 4) *S. epidermidis* PTCC 1435, 5) *S. saprophyticus* PTCC 1440, and 6) *S. aureus* PTCC 1431.

Moreover, the results showed that the three *Staphylococcus* species in the artificially contaminated salami samples migrated to the equivalent distances of the same species (Figure

4). TTGE patterns during different runs showed good reproducibility.



Figure 4. Temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis band separation patterns of *tuf* PCR products in food samples spiked with *Staphylococcus* species. Each lane represents: 1) *S. saprophyticus* PTCC 1440, 2) *S. epidermidis* PTCC 1435, 3) *S. aureus* PTCC 1431, 4) food sample inoculated with *S. saprophyticus* PTCC 1440, 5) food sample inoculated with *S. epidermidis* PTCC 1435 and 6) food sample inoculated with *S. aureus* PTCC 1431.

3.3. TTGE Analysis of *Staphylococcus* **species Contamination in Salami Samples**

A total of 9 packed and branded salami samples and one hand-made salami sample were randomly selected, and the presence of *Staphylococcus* species was investigated. For this purpose, DNA extraction was carried out using the cost-effective, easy, and efficient boiling process with a 24-h enrichment step in the BPW medium, and then *tuf* gene amplification was performed by PCR method. Observation of a band with a size of about 400 bp indicates the presence of the *Staphylococcus* genus in the samples. As shown in (Fige 5), the presence of the *Staphylococcus* genus was confirmed in 6 out of 10 tested salami products using *tuf*-PCR.

Among all samples, the presence of the *Staphylococcus* genus was indicated in sample no. 9 before and after enrichment (time: 0 and 24 h). This difference could be due to the high range of diverse bacteria population levels as it was an unidentified and hand-made sample without any standardized protocols. A 24-h pre-

enrichment step facilitates bacterial growth to a detectable range. Also, food inhibitors are reduced by dilution in the pre-enrichment medium. So, the pre-enrichment can significantly improve the sensitivity of the method to identify even a low population of bacteria in packed and hand-made products.



Figure 5. PCR Products of the *tuf* gene amplified with TStaG422f/TStag765r primers in prepared salami samples from the market. Each lane represents: L) 100 bp DNA Ladder (Fermentas, USA), N) Negative control, P) Positive control, Lane 1–10) Salami no.1 to salami no. 10 at zero and after 24 h of pre-enrichment.

* Salami no. 9 is a hand-made salami; the others belong to branded and prepacked products.

All these samples were differentiated into separated bands by PCR-TTGE. As shown in (Fig 6), by matching the TTGE pattern of every unspiked salami sample with the diversity marker, two samples (no. 2 and no. 5) showed parallel bands with the *S. intermedius* and *S. hominis* in the diversity ladder. It was revealed that *S. hominis* species was present in salami sample no. 2 (represented in lane 2). Another band was in salami no. 5 (represented in lane 4), consistent with the standard *S. intermedius* in the diversity ladder.



Figure 6. Matching the TTGE band pattern of PCR products from foods prepared from the market. The columns from left to right represent: L) Diversity marker, 1) 24-h pre-enriched salami

no. 2, 2) 24-h pre-enriched salami no. 2, 3) 24-h pre-enriched salami no. 3, 4) 24-h pre-enriched salami no. 5, 5) 24-h pre-enriched salami no. 7, and 6 and 7) Hand-made salami no. 9 at zero and after 24 h of pre-enrichment, respectively.

The authenticity of the PCR product of the *tuf* gene from the test sample (Salami no. 5) was assessed by direct sequencing. The local similarity search using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool revealed 99% similarity with S. pseudintermedius, S. intermedius, and S. delphini. These three bacteria are very similar, except for the Staphylococcus intermedius Group (SIG) (Ben Zakour, Beatson, van den Broek, Thoday, & Fitzgerald, 2012). These results consistent with the are TTGE observations.

As discussed earlier, this is the first report of *tuf*-PCR-TTGE for the identification of *Staphylococcus* species in a complex structure meat product. The proposed molecular approach efficiently differentiated *Staphylococcus* species from each other in our study and was also successful in detecting the salami samples contaminated with *S. intermedius* and *S. hominis*. Identification of the *S. intermedius* is

very important as different strains of this species are involved in the production of diseasecausing enterotoxins (Futagawa-Saito et al., 2004; J.-A. Hennekinne et al., 2010).

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, using PCR-TTGE as a selective diagnostic technique for Staphylococcus species in contaminated meat products suggest that this method can be applicable to food safety. Furthermore, some optimization in our method, such as 24-h pre-enrichment of samples, can offer an alternative, sensitive, and cost-effective way to screen the presence of possible Staphylococcal contaminations in foods at low levels. To the best of our knowledge, this optimized *tuf*-PCR-TTGE not been has previously applied to assess Staphylococcus diversity in meat products. For greater accuracy, we need to achieve a species-specific TTGE profile with more standard species and also analyze a broader range of food products.

Abbreviation

HPV: Human papillomaviruses; MHC: Major histocompatibility complex; HLA: Leukocyte antigen; PC: Population coverage

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported financially by Cancer Control Research Center, Cancer Control Foundation, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, as project No: CCF-97087. Also, we are thankful for supporting the project by Iranian research organization for science and technology (IROST).

Ethical approval

This article does/does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors. This article does/does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Open access

This article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,

References

[1] Adil, E. (2015). Corrective measures of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis limitations. *J Environ Sci Technol*, 8(1), 1-12.

[2] Al-Mailem, D. M., Kansour, M. K., & Radwan, S. S. (2017). Capabilities and limitations of DGGE for the analysis of hydrocarbonoclastic prokaryotic communities directly in environmental samples. *MicrobiologyOpen*, 6(5), e00495.

[3] Arunrut, N., Kiatpathomchai, W., & Ananchaipattana, C. (2018). Multiplex PCR assay and lyophilization for detection of Salmonella spp., Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus cereus in pork products. *Food science and biotechnology*, *27*(3), 867-875.

[4] Ben Zakour, N., Beatson, S., van den Broek, A., Thoday, K., & Fitzgerald, R. (2012). Comparative genomics of the Staphylococcus intermedius group of animal pathogens. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology*, 2, 44.

[5] Bergeron, M., Dauwalder, O., Gouy, M., Freydiere, A.-M., Bes, M., Meugnier, H., . . . Vandenesch, F. (2011). Species identification of staphylococci by amplification and sequencing of the tuf gene compared to the gap gene and by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases, 30*(3), 343-354.

[6] Berrada, H., Soriano, J., Mañes, J., & Pico, Y. (2006). Real-time quantitative PCR of Staphylococcus aureus and application in restaurant meals. *Journal of food protection*, *69*(1), 106-111.

[7] Besharati, M., Bahrami, A. R., Mashreghi, M., Matin, M., & Bahrami, M. (2017). Development of a polymerase chain reaction-temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis assay for identification of Salmonella enterica Subspecies enterica using a hypothetical nonspecific endonucleas S. entericae gene sequence. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology*, 10(4).

[8] Bogestam, K., Vondracek, M., Karlsson, M., Fang, H., & Giske, C. G. (2018). Introduction of a hydrolysis probe PCR assay for high-throughput screening of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus with the ability to include or exclude detection of Staphylococcus argenteus. *PloS one*, *13*(2), e0192782.

[9] Botaro, B. G., Cortinhas, C. S., Março, L. V. d., Moreno, J., Silva, L. F. P., Benites, N. R., & Santos, M. V. d. (2013). Detection and enumeration of Staphylococcus aureus from bovine milk samples by real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of dairy science*, *96*(11), 6955-6964.

[10] Chen, H.-C., & Hwang, W.-Z. (2008). Development of a PCR-denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis Method Targeting the tuf Gene to Differentiate and Identify Staphylococcus Species. *Journal of Food & Drug Analysis, 16*(4).

[11] Chen, L., Mediavilla, J. R., Oliveira, D. C., Willey, B. M., de Lencastre, H., & Kreiswirth, B. N. (2009). Multiplex real-time PCR for rapid staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec typing. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, *47*(11), 3692-3706.

[12] Chevallet, M., Luche, S., & Rabilloud, T. (2006). Silver staining of proteins in polyacrylamide gels. *Nature protocols*, *1*(4), 1852-1858.

[13] Chiang, Y.-C., Tsen, H.-Y., Chen, H.-Y., Chang, Y.-H., Lin, C.-K., Chen, C.-Y., & Pai, W.-Y. (2012). Multiplex PCR and a chromogenic DNA macroarray for the detection of Listeria monocytogens, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus agalactiae, Enterobacter sakazakii, Escherichia coli O157: H7, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Salmonella spp. and Pseudomonas fluorescens in milk and meat samples. *Journal of microbiological methods*, *88*(1), 110-116.

[14] Council, N. R. (2003). *Scientific criteria to ensure safe food*: National Academies Press.

[15] Dahllöf, I., Baillie, H., & Kjelleberg, S. (2000). rpoB-based microbial community analysis avoids limitations inherent in 16S rRNA gene intraspecies heterogeneity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, *66*(8), 3376-3380.

[16] de Paiva-Santos, W., de Sousa, V. S., & GiambiagideMarval, M. (2018). Occurrence of virulence-associated genes among Staphylococcus saprophyticus isolated from different sources. *Microbial pathogenesis*, *119*, 9-11.

[17] Ercolini, D. (2004). PCR-DGGE fingerprinting: novel strategies for detection of microbes in food. *Journal of microbiological methods*, *56*(3), 297-314.

[18] Filleron, A., Simon, M., Hantova, S., Jacquot, A., Cambonie, G., Marchandin, H., & Jumas-Bilak, E. (2014). tuf-PCR-temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis for molecular detection and identification of staphylococci: Application to breast milk and neonate gut microbiota. Journal of microbiological methods, 98, 67-75.

[19] Foddai, A. C., & Grant, I. R. (2020). Methods for detection of viable foodborne pathogens: current state-of-art and future prospects. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 1-8.

[20] Fricker, M., Messelhäußer, U., Busch, U., Scherer, S., & Ehling-Schulz, M. (2007). Diagnostic real-time PCR assays for the detection of emetic Bacillus cereus strains in foods and recent food-borne outbreaks. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, *73*(6), 1892-1898.

[21] Fusco, V., & Quero, G. M. (2014). Culture-Dependent and Culture-Independent Nucleic-Acid-Based Methods Used in the Microbial Safety Assessment of Milk and Dairy Products. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, *13*(4), 493-537.

[22] Futagawa-Saito, K., Suzuki, M., Ohsawa, M., Ohshima, S., Sakurai, N., Ba-Thein, W., & Fukuyasu, T. (2004). Identification and prevalence of an enterotoxin-related gene, se-int, in Staphylococcus intermedius isolates from dogs and pigeons. *Journal of applied microbiology*, *96*(6), 1361-1366.

[23] Gandra, E. A., Fernandez, M. A., Silva, J. A., & Silva, W. P. d. (2016). Detection by multiplex PCR of Staphylococcus aureus, S. intermedius and S. hyicus in artificially contaminated milk. *Ciência Rural*, *46*(8), 1418-1423.

[24] Garofalo, C., Bancalari, E., Milanović, V., Cardinali, F., Osimani, A., Sardaro, M. L. S., . . . Clementi, F. (2017). Study of the bacterial diversity of foods: PCR-DGGE versus LH-PCR. *International journal of food microbiology*, *242*, 24-36.

[25] Gilbert, R., De Louvois, J., Donovan, T., Little, C., Nye, K., Ribeiro, C., . . . Bolton, F. (2000). Guidelines for the microbiological quality of some ready-to-eat foods sampled at the point of sale. PHLS Advisory Committee for Food and Dairy Products. *Communicable disease and public health*, 3(3), 163-167.

[26] Gill, A. (2017). The importance of bacterial culture to food microbiology in the age of genomics. *Frontiers in microbiology*, *8*, 777.

[27] Hagen, R. M., Seegmüller, I., Navai, J., Kappstein, I., Lehn, N., & Miethke, T. (2005). Development of a realtime PCR assay for rapid identification of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus from clinical samples. *International journal of medical microbiology*, 295(2), 77-86.

[28] Hennekinne, J.-A., Ostyn, A., Guillier, F., Herbin, S., Prufer, A.-L., & Dragacci, S. (2010). How should staphylococcal food poisoning outbreaks be characterized? *Toxins*, *2*(8), 2106-2116.

[29] Hennekinne, J., & Le Loir, Y. (2014). Staphylococcus: Detection by Cultural and Modern Techniques. [30] Hwang, S. M., Kim, M. S., Park, K. U., Song, J., & Kim, E.-C. (2011). Tuf gene sequence analysis has greater discriminatory power than 16S rRNA sequence analysis in identification of clinical isolates of coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, *49*(12), 4142-4149.

[31] Iversen, S., Johannesen, T. B., Ingham, A. C., Edslev, S. M., Tevell, S., Månsson, E., . . . Andersen, P. S. (2020). Alteration of bacterial communities in anterior nares and skin sites of patients undergoing arthroplasty surgery: analysis by 16S rRNA and staphylococcal-specific tuf gene sequencing. *Microorganisms*, 8(12), 1977.

[32] Kassem, I. I., Esseili, M., & Sigler, V. (2011). Detection and differentiation of staphylococcal contamination of clinical surfaces using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 78(3), 187-193.

[33] Khosravi, A. D., Roointan, M., Montazeri, E. A., Aslani, S., Hashemzadeh, M., & Soodejani, M. T. (2018). Application of tuf gene sequence analysis for the identification of species of coagulase-negative staphylococci in clinical samples and evaluation of their antimicrobial resistance pattern. *Infection and drug resistance*, 11, 1275.

[34] Kim, J., Hong, J., Lim, J.-A., Heu, S., & Roh, E. (2018). Improved multiplex PCR primers for rapid identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Archives of microbiology*, 200(1), 73-83.

[35] Kloos, W. E., & Schleifer, K. H. (1975). Simplified scheme for routine identification of human Staphylococcus species. *Journal of clinical Microbiology*, 1(1), 82-88.

[36] Kord, M., Ardebili, A., Jamalan, M., Jahanbakhsh, R., Behnampour, N., & Ghaemi, E. A. (2018). Evaluation of Biofilm Formation and Presence of Ica Genes in Staphylococcus epidermidis Clinical Isolates. *Osong public health and research perspectives*, 9(4), 160.

[37] Kumar, T., Murali, H., & Batra, H. (2009). Simultaneous detection of pathogenic B. cereus, S. aureus and L. monocytogenes by multiplex PCR. *Indian journal of microbiology*, *49*(3), 283-289.

[38] Martineau, F., Picard, F. J., Ke, D., Paradis, S., Roy, P. H., Ouellette, M., & Bergeron, M. G. (2001). Development of a PCR assay for identification of staphylococci at genus and species levels. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, *39*(7), 2541-2547.

[39] Morot-Bizot, S. C., Talon, R., & Leroy, S. (2004). Development of a multiplex PCR for the identification of Staphylococcus genus and four staphylococcal species isolated from food. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 97(5), 1087-1094.

[40] Nakano, S., Kobayashi, T., Funabiki, K., Matsumura, A., Nagao, Y., & Yamada, T. (2004). PCR detection of Bacillus and Staphylococcus in various foods. *Journal of food protection*, *67*(6), 1271-1277.

[41] Pui, C. F., Wong, W. C., Chai, L. C., Lee, H. Y., Noorlis, A., Zainazor, T. C. T., . . . Nakaguchi, Y. (2011). Multiplex PCR for the concurrent detection and differentiation of Salmonella spp., Salmonella Typhi and Salmonella Typhimurium. *Tropical medicine and health*, *39*(1), 9-15.

[42] Ramesh, A., Padmapriya, B., Chrashekar, A., & Varadaraj, M. (2002). Application of a convenient DNA extraction method and multiplex PCR for the direct detection of Staphylococcus aureus and Yersinia enterocolitica in milk samples. *Molecular and Cellular Probes*, *16*(4), 307-314.

[43] Trnčíková, T., Hrušková, V., Oravcová, K., Pangallo, D., & Kaclíková, E. (2009). Rapid and sensitive detection of Staphylococcus aureus in food using selective enrichment and real-time PCR targeting a new gene marker. *Food analytical methods*, *2*(4), 241.

[44] Wang, Y., & Salazar, J. K. (2016). Culture-independent rapid detection methods for bacterial pathogens and toxins in food matrices. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 15(1), 183-205.

[45] Wei, S., Daliri, E. B. M., Chelliah, R., Park, B. J., Lim, J. S., Baek, M. A., . . . Oh, D. H. (2019). Development of a multiplex real-time PCR for simultaneous detection of Bacillus cereus, Listeria monocytogenes, and Staphylococcus aureus in food samples. *Journal of food safety*, *39*(1), e12558.

[46] Wilson, I., Cooper, J. E., & Gilmour, A. (1991). Detection of enterotoxigenic Staphylococcus aureus in dried skimmed milk: use of the polymerase chain reaction for amplification and detection of staphylococcal enterotoxin genes entB and entC1 and the thermonuclease gene nuc. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, *57*(6), 1793-1798.